

## GUILDFORD CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE REVIEW

# SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE (GUILDFORD)

### 27th SEPTEMBER 2007

#### **KEY ISSUE**

This report concerns initial consultations concerning potential changes in the catchment areas between (a) Pewley Way and Addison Road and (b) Wodeland Avenue, as well as a potential extension of the Controlled Parking Zone.

#### **SUMMARY**

The pressure on parking in Addison Road and in the lower part of Wodeland Avenue has led to proposals to change the boundaries of catchment areas to provide more flexibility. There is support for the proposal from those who suffer from the greatest pressure but resistance in areas where additional parking may occur. The report recommends advertising the changes with a view to changing the Traffic Regulation Order. There is support for an eastward extension of the CPZ and the report recommends further consultation.

Report by Surrey Atlas Ref.

GBC PARKING SERVICES MANAGER

Pages 130 & 131

GUILDFORD B.C. WARD (S)

**COUNTY ELECTORAL DIVISION (S)** 

FRIARY & ST NICOLAS HOLY TRINITY CHRISTCHURCH GUILDFORD SOUTHWEST & SOUTHEAST

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked to agree:

- (i) that the boundary of catchment areas C and H be changed to incorporate Pewley Way into area C.
- (ii) that additional parking places should be created as set out in the plan attached as **ANNEXE 2**.
- (iii) that the boundary of catchment areas B and F be changed so that the part of Wodeland Avenue currently in area B is incorporated into area F.
- (iv) that the intention of Surrey County Council to make an order under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 be advertised to give effect to the proposals in (i), (ii) and (iii) above and that if no objections are maintained the Order be made.
- (v) that further consultation is conducted on a possible eastward extension of the Controlled Parking Zone based on the plan attached as **ANNEXE 3**.

#### INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND

- This report covers three issues concerning consultations conducted on issues relating to the Guildford Town CPZ:
  - A potential boundary change between areas C and H affecting Pewley Way and Addison Road.
  - A potential boundary change between areas B and F affecting the Wodeland Avenue area
  - > A possible extension of the Controlled Parking Zone to the East
- The Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) is divided into catchment areas. Each catchment area is given a letter from A to J. Residents are issued with permits which have a letter code corresponding to their catchment area and the permit allows them to park in bays with the same letter code.

#### POTENTIAL BOUNDARY CHANGE - PEWLEY WAY / ADDISON ROAD.

Addison Road is in catchment area C and has 92 spaces and 127 permit holders. Addison Road is connected to Pewley Way at its junction and also by a footpath. Pewley Way is in catchment area H and has 44 spaces and 45 permit holders. The boundary prevents residents with permits in neither road parking in the adjacent road. Unlike Addison Road residents of Pewley Way can obtain one permit regardless of the level of off street parking they have and surveys show that there is usually space in Pewley Way even at peak times.

- A change in the boundary to incorporate Pewley Way into area C would allow permit holders in each road to park in either road and increase the flexibility in the use of space. Residents are always likely to look for space as close to their home as possible so this flexibility is only like to be used when necessary.
- The residents of Pewley Way and Addison Road have been consulted on this change and the findings are shown in **TABLE 1** below.

| TABLE 1    | No of   | % of  | Agr | eed | Disagree |    |  |  |
|------------|---------|-------|-----|-----|----------|----|--|--|
| TABLE I    | replies | Total | No. | %   | No.      | %  |  |  |
| Addison Rd | 98      | 50    | 94  | 96  | 4        | 4  |  |  |
| Pewley Way | 63      | 77    | 7   | 11  | 56       | 89 |  |  |
| Total      | 161     | 58    | 101 | 63  | 60       | 37 |  |  |

- In addition to the roads consulted 32 comments were received from Cline Road and Cooper Road in support of the proposals. 1 response was received from the Mt Alvernia Hospital on Harvey Road against the proposal.
- 7 One of the main concerns from those who opposed the change was concern about parking pressure in Pewley Way.

#### POTENTIAL BOUNDARY CHANGE - WODELAND AVENUE

- The lower end of Wodeland Avenue, below the junction with Wherwell Road, is in catchment area B whereas the rest of the road is in area F. The boundary means that residents in either part of the road cannot park in the other part. In the lower part (area B) there are 18 permit holders and 7 spaces. In the section between Wherwell Road and Annandale Road there are 55 permit holders and 60 spaces (area F). In the section between Annandale Road and Farnham Road there are 17 permits and 107 spaces (area F).
- A change in the boundary to make the whole road fall into catchment area F would allow residents with permits to park anywhere in the road. It would also mean that those residents who can not find a space in the lower part of Wodeland Avenue would not have to park in the surrounding roads in area B, like Wherwell and Testard Roads, where there is also considerable pressure on space.
- The residents of Wodeland Avenue (up to the junction with Annandale Road), Wherwell Road, Testard Road, the lower part of Mountside and Mareschal Road have been consulted on this potential change and the findings are shown in **TABLE 2** overleaf.

| TABLE 2                                | No of       | % of  | A   | gree | Disagree |    |  |  |
|--|-------------|-------|-----|------|----------|----|--|--|
| TABLE 2                                | Replie<br>s | Total | No. | %    | No.      | %  |  |  |
| Farnham Rd (part)                      | 2           | 13    | 2   | 100  | 0        | 0  |  |  |
| Mareschal Rd                           | 17          | 57    | 6   | 35   | 11       | 65 |  |  |
| Mountside (bottom)                     | 11          | 50    | 1   | 9    | 10       | 91 |  |  |
| Testard Rd                             | 12          | 35    | 5   | 42   | 7        | 58 |  |  |
| Wherwell Rd                            | 11          | 34    | 6   | 55   | 5        | 45 |  |  |
| Wodeland Ave (B)                       | 20          | 63    | 20  | 100  | 0        | 0  |  |  |
| Wodeland Ave<br>(F up to Annandale Rd) | 24          | 38    | 13  | 54   | 11       | 46 |  |  |
|  |             |       |     |      |          |    |  |  |
| Wodeland Ave (combined)                | 44          | 46    | 33  | 75   | 11       | 25 |  |  |
| Total for area B                       | 45          | 40    | 33  | 73   | 12       | 27 |  |  |
| Total for area F                       | 52          | 45    | 20  | 38   | 32       | 62 |  |  |
|  |             |       |     |      |          |    |  |  |
| TOTAL                                  | 97          | 42    | 53  | 55   | 44       | 45 |  |  |

In addition to the roads consulted 7 letters were received, 5 from The Mount, 1 from the upper part of Mountside and 1 from Bray Road opposing the change.

#### POSSIBLE EXTENSION OF THE CPZ TO THE EAST

- Following the last extension of the CPZ eastward there has been displacement parking in St Omer Road and to a lesser extent in Tangier Road.
- A consultation has been conducted with residents in St Omer Road, St Omer Ridge, Tangier Road, Kyngeshene Gardens, Rosetrees, The Ridgeway and Warren Road to assess their views on a potential extension of the CPZ and the results are set out in **ANNEXE 1**. It should be noted that controls are not designated in private/unadopted roads when the CPZ is extended but the residents are surveyed so they have an opportunity to comment on the proposals.

#### FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

The estimated cost of changing the boundary between Pewley Way and Addision Road is £1,760. The estimated cost of changing the boundary around Wodeland Avenue is £500. The estimated cost of extending the Controlled Parking Zone is £7,500. These amounts can be funded from the On Street Parking Account.

#### **EQUALITIES & DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS**

15 This report has no implications for equalities and diversity.

#### **CRIME & DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

This report has no implications for crime and disorder.

#### SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

17 The proposals help control the use of vehicles and ensure traffic can flow and reduce congestion.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### Potential Boundary Change - Pewley Way /Addison Road.

- 18 Changing the boundary will provide more flexible use of parking space and in particular benefit permit holders in Addison Road. Reducing the pressure on parking in Addison Road will also help residents in Cline Road and Cooper Road. Residents in Pewley Way are concerned about the potential additional pressure on parking in their road and to help off-set this officers recommend designating more parking places in Pewley Way.
- 19 It is recommended that the Committee agree to advertise its intention to change the Traffic Regulation Order so that the part of Pewley Way currently in catchment area H is made part of area C. It is also recommended that the Committee agree to advertise its intention to make an order to give effect to the additional parking places set out in **ANNEXE**2. This will make the use of space more flexible in particular areas where there are parking problems.

#### Potential Boundary Change - Wodeland Avenue

- Changing the boundary will provide more flexible use of parking space and in particular benefit residents in the lower part of Wodeland Avenue. Allowing residents in the lower part of Wodeland Avenue to park in the upper part of Wodeland Avenue and other parts of catchment area F will also reduce pressure on parking in Testard and Wherwell Roads. Residents in the upper part of Wodeland Avenue and other parts of catchment area F are concerned about additional parking. Officers consider there is sufficient space in these roads to absorb any additional parking resulting from the change.
- It is recommended that the Committee agree to advertise the intention to change the catchment area boundary so the part of Wodeland Avenue in are B is incorporated into area F. This will make the use of space more flexible in particular areas where there are parking problems.

#### Possible Extension of the Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to the East

- There is clear support for extending the CPZ in St Omer Road. Tangier Road is split and other roads are opposed. However the residents' response became more favourable if controls in adjacent roads were likely to significantly increase parking in their road. There are also significant numbers of people expressing "no view" on particularl questions.
- In order to arrive at the best solution to the parking problems in and around St Omer Road it is recommended that there is further consultation with residents in the area and consultation with road users and those who live outside the area. This would allow a full discussion on the type of controls and an assessment of the likely levels of displacement parking which different scenarios would cause. It is recommended that the plan attached in **ANNEXE 3** be used as a basis for this consultation.

#### WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

- If the recommendation is approved the proposed changes to the catchment area will be advertised. The proposed additional parking bays in Pewley Way will also be advertised. Any unresolved objections will be reported back to the Committee.
- With regard to the possible extension of the CPZ the results of the further consultation will be reported back to the Committee.

**LEAD OFFICER** KEVIN MCKEE, GBC PARKING SERVICES

**MANAGER** 

**TELEPHONE** 01483 444530

BACKGROUND PAPERS GLC Report - June 2007 – Item 14 - Guildford,

Controlled Parking Zone Review

### ITEM 10, ANNEXE 1 : CONSULTATION ON POTENTIAL EASTWARD EXTENSION OF THE CPZ

| Road  | No. of<br>Addresse<br>s | Respons<br>e | % of propertie s returning survey | Q1 - Do you perceive there is a parking problem in your road? (% of responses from the road) |     |     |      |         |     | Q2 - Do you think your road should be included within any extension to the CPZ? |     |     |      |         | Q3 - If controls in adjacent roads were likely to significantly increase parking in your road would you want your road to be included in the CPZ? |     |     |     |      |         |     |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|---|-----|-----|------|---------|---|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|
|   |                         |              |                                   | Yes  |     | No  |      | No View |     | Yes   |     | No  |      | No View |   | Yes |     | No  |      | No View |     |
|   |                         |              |                                   | No.  | %   | No. | %    | No.     | %   | No.   | %   | No. | %    | No.     | %   | No. | %   | No. | %    | No.     | %   |
| Kyngeshene Gardens (p)  | 10                      | 4            | 40%                               | 2  | 50% | 2   | 50%  | 0       | 0%  | 2   | 50% | 2   | 50%  | 0       | 0%  | 3   | 75% | 1   | 25%  | 0       | 0%  |
| Rosetrees   | 59                      | 21           | 36%                               | 9  | 43% | 12  | 57%  | 0       | 0%  | 6   | 29% | 12  | 57%  | 3       | 14%   | 11  | 52% | 6   | 29%  | 4       | 19% |
| St Omer Ridge (p)   | 5                       | 1            | 20%                               | 0  | 0%  | 1   | 100% | 0       | 0%  | 0   | 0%  | 1   | 100% | 0       | 0%  | 0   | 0%  | 1   | 100% | 0       | 0%  |
| St Omer Road  | 30                      | 22           | 73%                               | 18   | 82% | 4   | 18%  | 0       | 0%  | 18  | 82% | 4   | 18%  | 0       | 0%  | NA  | NA  | NA  | NA   | NA      | NA  |
| Tangier Road  | 40                      | 27           | 68%                               | 16   | 59% | 11  | 41%  | 0       | 0%  | 12  | 44% | 14  | 52%  | 1       | 4%  | 17  | 63% | 8   | 30%  | 2       | 7%  |
| The Ridgeway (p)  | 12                      | 8            | 67%                               | 0  | 0%  | 6   | 75%  | 2       | 25% | 0   | 0%  | 6   | 75%  | 2       | 25%   | 0   | 0%  | 5   | 63%  | 3       | 38% |
| Warren Road   | 45                      | 17           | 68%                               | 5  | 29% | 12  | 71%  | 0       | 0%  | 6   | 35% | 9   | 53%  | 2       | 12%   | 10  | 59% | 4   | 24%  | 3       | 18% |
| Overall<br>*not including St Omer Road                              | 201                     | 100          | 50%                               | 50   | 50% | 48  | 48%  | 2       | 2%  | 44  | 44% | 48  | 48%  | 8       | 8%  | 41  | 53% | 25  | 32%  | 12      | 15% |
| Overall<br>(excluding private roads)<br>*not including St Omer Road | 174                     | 87           | 50%                               | 48   | 55% | 39  | 45%  | 0       | 0%  | 42  | 48% | 39  | 45%  | 6       | 7%  | 38  | 58% | 18  | 28%  | 9       | 14% |

#### (p) denotes a private road

Additionally, one unaddressed reply was received suggesting that they have problems in their road, but don't want their road (?) to be included in CPZ, even if adjacent roads are.